G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 103-13

Serial Nos. 1-5.

1913.

(Forest)

Later references.

Forest-180-18/(163))

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-5

Part B for [illegible]

SUBJECT.

Forest Reservations (No. 8) in the Pavagada Taluk.

Sl. 4 G.O. No. R 9681-4 Dt/16.3.18. To the Rev. Commr, Conservator, F.S.O. and the Dc. Tumkur Sanctions the reservation of the Blocks referred to in Sl 3.

Sl 5. Notification No R 9685 Dt/ 16.3.18. To the Compiler, Mysore Gazette. Notifies the reservation of the Banagammaikana Betta block under Sec 4 of the Ft. Regulation

Memo No R 9686 Dt/ 16.3.18 to Dc Tumkur Forwards a copy of the above

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Srikantiya
6.4.18

G.R.S. 11] 1913-14 (1)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Forest)

NOTES.

File No. 103-14

FILE HEADING.

Forest Reservations in the Pavagada Taluk

From

The Revenue Commr. in Mysore

No. 7170 Dated 1.12.13 Received

Diary No. 3832 Enclos. Spare Copies. Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards papers relating to the reservation of 8 forest blocks in the Pavagada Taluk, & states that though the proposals involve the curtailment of the entire communal lands of 15 villages, &c the preliminary notification may be issued leaving it to the Forest Settlement Officer to make adequate provision for the affected villages.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Subd.

The necessity for further forest reservation in the Pavagada Taluk has been recognized by Govt in passing orders on the introduction

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12-8-11

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Fr File No. 603 of 1913.

C 2

Serial No.

R 2501-12 d/21.7.09.
LA 35-08-4
E

of the Revised survey sett: into that Taluk, and the proposals now before Govt have been framed in accordance there-with.

2. The proposal involves the reservation of 8 blocks of forest area with an aggregate area of ~~[illegible]~~ 35 Sq: miles.

The following are some of the shortcomings of the proposals & the maps.

1) In the statement of lands proposed to be taken up occupied ~~[illegible]~~ lands which are apparently to be treated as enclosures, have been included, owing, perhaps, to a mistaken understanding of the scope of the statement.

2) The delineation of the boundary line on the maps is extremely faint, and in many cases it is impossible to follow the ~~fine~~ line. A better kind of ink or drawing ink has to be used if the maps have to serve any purpose at all.

3 ~~Occupy~~ Occupied lands which may abut on the block have not been indicated, & it is therefore difficult to say whether sufficient margin is left between forest & cultivation.

Besides a number of occupied lands are ~~scatt~~ scattered throughout most

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

of the block. Though these are proposed to be treated as enclosures yet the necessity to leave a margin round them & ~~maintain them in good order~~ to cut & maintain the line around them, will as pointed out by the Rev Comr, be a fruitful source of annoyance to the people.

4) According to the proposal 15 villages will have no gomal at all though the number of cattle in them is great. —

3 As regards 3 & 4, both the Rev Comr & the Dy Comr, have anticipated these defects but they say that it may be left to the Forest Settt officer to make adequate provision after his local inspection & enquiry. The Comr adds that the occupied land within the blocks ~~are~~ are of a temporary nature & would be added on to the Forest when relinquished. If the proposals are appd, the F.S.O will have to be specially asked to note the Rev Comr's suggn. It has, however, to be pointed out that the fact that an exhaustive enquiry will be made by the F.S.O is not a

3832

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Fr— File No. 103 of 1913. (8)

Serial No.

proved to justify the drawing up of proposals which would *prima facie* be very ~~ob~~ prejudicial to the people.

4) Before approving of the proposals & issuing the notifn., the papers will have to be sent back to the Com. for rectification of the ~~doubt~~ defects noticed in ¶ 1 & 2 supra. — Yes.

5) A 27/1 There is complaint everywhere that the cattle are being gradually deprived of their free pasture. It is a matter for consideration whether before ordering the reservation of all the gomal land, it is not necessary to ascertain the actual needs of each village that will be affected by the proposed reservation of the blocks, & exclude the area required for the cattle, from reservation once for all, instead of leaving the question for the decision of the Settlement Officer. — Yes. A report may be called for from the Dy. Com.

SS 2/2/14

6) Please see marginal remarks. 2/2.

7) Draft sub. A 12/2 Fair draft placed 19/2.

SS 19/2/14

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

7550

5

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 603 of 1913

Serial No.

8)

Fair draft subd for approval orders

88 / 8/3/14

603/13

2 member) 9) approved

12/3

12/3

Snd

10) Issue

12.3.14.

To The Cons^r of Forests

No. R. 7622 d 26.3.14

2 Points out certain defects and ask him to rectify them and [illegible] the pape to the Rev Commr for needful action

Reply to Reminder

From the Conser of Forests

No. 13035 Dt. 28.6.16

states that the proposals have been sent to the Rev. Commr with his letter ~~No~~ Dt 19.11.15

11) Draft to Rev. Commr Subd

6.7.16

Issue

7.7.16

12/7

Snd

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

684- 6

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. Rt 103 of 1913.

Serial
No.

To. The Rev Commr.

No. ~~Ke~~ R.F.143 d 17.7.16

Requests him to expedite the subn of proposals regarding the reservation of certain blocks in the Punnagoda Taluk.

From the Rev. Commr.

No. AC. 4458 4458 d 25.7.16

states that the Dy. Commr's report in the matter has not been received.

(2) Subd for information.

~~Ins~~
14.8.16.

Mor
16/8

From the ~~Rev~~ Rev. Commr. in [illegible]

No. AC [illegible]

Forwards the proposals in respect of six blocks and states that the [illegible] may be kept to decide the suggestions made in the [illegible] officer's report (enclosed).

Of the 8 proposals originally sent up, two ~~[illegible]~~ are stated to have been abandoned as the lands have since been given out fo

Ors
For Reminders issue vide sheet below.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

4333

7

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. Ft 103 of 1913.

Serial No.

cultivation & only six are now proposed to be notified.

Subd for orders with draft notifications & a G.O.

My
15-2-18.

16.

(A perusal of the maps of the forest of Banguranaikanbetta & Mugdalbett will show the extent of occupie lands ~~[illegible]~~ taken up for these)

22/2/18

2d Member)

Ddm
22/2

264.
23.2.18

25-7

Issue
Mm
25/2

B|

4 To the Rev Commr, the Conservator, F.S.C. and the D.C. Tumkur No. R9681-4 dy 16-3-18 sanctions the reservation of the blocks referred to in sl 3.

5. To the Compiler, Mysore Gazette. No R 9685 dy 16-3-18.

Notifies the reservation of the Banganai-Kanabetta block under sec 4 of the Ft Reg

To the D.C. Tumkur No R.9686 dy 16-3-18.

Forwards a copy of the above.

For notifications of the other 5 blocks see

(1) Pavagada. (Ft 104-13).
(2) Nidugal. (Ft 106-13).
(3) Shippaganahalli. (Ft 107-13).
(4) Mugdalabetta. (Ft 109-13).
(5) Rangappanahalli. (Ft 110-13)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(i)

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,
Bangalore, 18/ Dec ~~November~~ 1913.

No. 7170/ 39 [illegible]

FROM

K. Doraswami Iyer Esquire,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

DIARY No. 3832

19/3-19 14
SECTION [illegible]
F. C. No. 103-13

TO

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue *Departments*

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith for the orders of Government papers relating to the proposed reservation of the eight marginally noted Blocks in the Pavagada Taluk received with Tumkur Deputy Commissioner's docket No.202/R.C. dated 18th September 1913 .

1. Bangarnaikanbetta Block.
2. Pavagada " .
3. Srirangapura " .
4. Vadanakal " .
5. Tipaganahalli " .
6. Thimmanayakanbetta " .
7. Mugadalbetta " .
8. Rangappanahalli " .

8

2. Government have in para 14 of their Order No. R.2501-12/ L.S.35-08-4 dated 21st September 1909 observed that much injury has been done to the water supply of the tanks and talpariges in the Pavagada Taluk by the neglect to conserve the forest resources of the tract. It is with a view to remedy this defect that the present proposals for extensive reservation have been submitted.

3. The 8 Blocks put together cover an area of 22414 acres 22 guntas or about 35 square miles; this extent and the 17 square miles of State Forest that now exist make up a total forest area of about 52 square miles and thus form about a tenth of the total area of the Taluk being more than

three

three times what it was in 1909. The present proposals affect 34 villages and involve the curtailment of the gomal rights of almost all the villages. In 15 villages all the available gomal is proposed to be included in the reserve, much to the prejudice of the village cattle. Further if the proposals in question are adopted several assessed occupied lands will be included in the Reserve as enclosures and this will be a fruitful source of annoyance to the ryots concerned.

4. The preliminary notification may be issued but the Forest Settlement Officer should be directed to enquire carefully into the rights and privileges of the ryots in respect of cultivation and grazing and fuel and timber supply and make adequate provision therefor at the time of settlement.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

V.M.R.
K.K.

3

Rev. Forests

OFFICE OF THE CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS IN MYSORE,

No. 10340 *Bangalore, dated*........6th..June 1913.

Enclosures 8 files and one map

From

RAI BAHADUR M. MUTHANNA, Esq.,
Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

To

K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.
(through the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore)

SIR,

Adverting to Para 14 of Government Order No. R. 2501-12/ L.S. ~~35~~ 08-4 dated the 21st September 1909 impressing on the Department the necessity for extending the formation of State Forests in the Pavagada Taluk, Tumkur District, I have the honour to submit herewith proposals for the reservation of the marginally noted 8 blocks and to request that they may be notified under section 4 of the Forest Regulation for constitution as State Forests.

1. Bangarnaikanbetta Block.
2. Pavagada ,, .
3. Srirangapura ,, .
4. Vadanakal ,,
5. Tipaganahalli ,,
6. Thimmanayakanabetta ,,
7. Mugadalabetta ,;
8. Rangappanahalli Block ,,

GPB—1,000—21-11-12

2. The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, concurs in these proposals, but suggests that free grazing be allowed all the year round.

It is true that the provision made for grazing is inadequate in some cases, while in a few, none has been made. In the latter case, the District Forest Officer assures me, that room exists in the neighbouring villages for this purpose and states that it is not possible to provide for it by cutting out areas from the proposed blocks without affedting their compactness. The question of provinding grazing, fuel and timber for agricultural implements might be left for the determination of the Forest Settlement Officer after due considera--tion of the local requirements and resources.

3. The blocks now proposed are honeycombed with cultivations. As these, are, however, of a temporary nature it is proposed not to interfere with them; but as they are relinquished, they will be absorbed in the State Forest.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. Venkata Narasappa
24.6

For Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

T.V.V. Ak

FOREST DEPARTMENT.

From

The Conservator of Forests
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,

(Through the Revenue Commissione
in Mysore)

No. 10240
Dated 8th June 1913
Despatched 24th
Enclosures. 8 files and one map

SUBJECT.

Submits proposals for the reservation of 8 blocks in the Pavagada Taluk, Tumk
District.

5

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE
No. 3955
Date 22-9-1913
BANGALORE.

14

From
B.J. Kumaraswami Naik, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To
K. Doraswami Iyer, Esq.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated, Tumkur, 19th September 1913
Despatched
Received
General No. 202
No.

Enclosure No. Eight files and one map sent separately per Regd. post.

With reference to his docket No. 1254 dated the 31st July 1913, forwarding for opinion copy of the Conservator of Forest's letter No. 10240 dated the 24th June 1913 in regard to the conservation of certain forest blocks in the Pavagada Taluk of this District, has the honour to state that the undersigned is in agreement with the Conservator in the opinion that the question of providing grazing, fuel, and timber for agricultural implements might be left for the determination of the Forest Settlement Officer after consideration of the local requirements and resources. The enclosures are returned herewith.

Deputy Commissioner.
GPB—50,000—8-1-13

CRS

6

Fuel Reservation in Pavagada Tk Tl.

G.&R. S. 26]

13/3

File No. 103-13

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 3832

No. R 7622.

Enc 9 Septhy

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Fuel)
, *the* 191 .

To

The Cons. of Forests
Mysore

Date of issue 26-3-1914

Signed by Mr. S.P. Rajagopalachari

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 10240 of the 6th June 1913 submitting proposals for the reservation of 8 blocks in the Pavagada Taluk, I am directed to observe as follows:-

1) In the statement of lands proposed to be taken up occupied lands

over.

SUBJECT.

which are to be treated as enclosures, are shown under the column - "Details of area taken".

2) The delineation of the boundary on the map is extremely ~~fait~~ faint and in many cases it is hardly possible to ~~trace~~ follow the line..

3) Occupied lands which abut on the block have not been indicated on the map as such ~~on~~ ~~the~~ and it is not possible ~~to~~ to see whether sufficient margin has been left between the block and cultivated holdings

4) The number and area of occupied ~~lands~~ fields lying within the block and proposed to be treated as enclosures, are very large ~~and~~ ~~it is doubtful whether the~~ ~~maintenance~~ and the entire proposal involves

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—4-3-13

8

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

the reservation of all the available formal lands of 15 villages.

It is, therefore, necessary that a responsible officer should inspect the ~~people~~ locality, study the needs of the people and report on the pros & cons of the proposals. The Rev Comr will also be addressed on the subject separately. In the mean while I am to request that the imperfections noticed in sub-paras 1 to 3 supra, may be got rectified & the papers sent to the Rev Comr for needful action

I have &c

6/7/13
For Secy

D 26-7-1914

Memo No. R 7623

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Rev: Comr in Mysore

9

with the request that either the Dy Cons., or the Sub Dn officer in charge of the Maddagiri ~~Range~~ Sub Dn. may be instructed to inspect the locality & submit a detailed report on the matter ~~with~~ which may be subd to Govt with his own remarks thereon.

Bo

9/3

WM
12/3

Ag 3/3

8/3/14

19/3

Dno4333

10

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

No. [illegible]

Bangalore, ~~5th~~ 8 February 1918.

GEN. & REV. SECR. I
11 FEB 1918

FROM

P.Raghavendra Rao Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
REVENUE *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your Memo, No.R.7623 dated the 26th March 1914, calling for the submission of a detailed report on the proposals for the reservation of eight blocks in the Pavagada Taluk, I have the honor to forward, herewith, proposals in respect of the marginally noted six blocks received with letters Nos.4741 and 5595, dated the 19th November and 4th December 1915, respectively, from the Conservator of Forests and to state as follows:-

1. Thippaganahalli Blocks I & II
2. Vadanakal
3. Rangappanahalli
4. Mugdalbetta
5. Bangaranaikanabetta
6. Pavagada

The Sub Division Officer, Maddagiri has inspected the localities, and after consulting the wishes of the ryots of the neighbouring villages, suggested in his detailed note the exclusion of certain lands and the grant of certain rights and privileges to the ryots over the forest area. The villagers also have executed mutchalikas agreeing to the reservation of these six blocks, subject to the modifications suggested by the Sub Division Officer. The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur, in his letter No.R.H.O.C.129/1916-17 dated the 12th December 1917, recommends that the initial notification may be issued in respect of them leaving it to the Forest Settlement Officer to attend to the suggestions made by the Sub Division Officer. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner.

2. The Sub Division Officer's report and its enclosures in

(in original) and copies of the marginally noted communications on the subject are forwarded herewith for reference.

1. Conservator's letter No.4741 dated 19-11-1915 and its enclosure
2. -do- No.5595 dated 7-12-1915.
3. Tumkur Deputy Commissioner's letter No.R.H.C.C.129/16-17 dated 13-12-1917

3. It is seen from the 'C' statement furnished, that it has not been revised by the Forest Department in accordance with the terms of your letter No.R.7622/Ft. 103-13-2 dated the 26th March 1914. This will, however, be done by the Forest Settlement Officer while submitting the records of the final settlement of these blocks.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

P. Raghavendra Rao
7.2.18
Revenue Commissioner.

H. V.

Letter No.R.H.O.C.129/16-17 dated 13th December 1917 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to correspondence ending with your docket No.A.C. 448 dated 27th August 1917 in re the reservation of certain Forest Blocks in the Pavagada Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith in original letter No.205 dated 9th May 1917 from the Sub Division Officer, Maddagiri, together with its enclosure furnishing a detailed report on the subject and to state as follows:-

2. The Sub Division Officer has made his report after personal inspection of the locality and has also sent up detailed notes as regards the several blocks proposed to be reserved.

3. The Pavagada Block includes the lands of Pavagada and Kanimenahalli villages. The ryots have no objection to its formation into a State Forest and the Sub Division Officer is of opinion that the remaining gomal land with kharab would be sufficient for the village cattle.

4. The Bhangaranaikana Betta Block comprises the lands of (1) Bhangaranaikanabetta (2) Chiknaikanahalli village of the Pavagada Hobli and (3) Virlagondi village of the Mugdalbetta Hobly. The Sub Division Officer proposes certain alterations in respect of the lands of Bhangaranaikanahalli. He states that the extent of gomal land will be insufficient after taking away S.Nos. 15 and 17 of this village and therefore proposes to set apart kharab S.No.31 of the Chiknaikanahalli village as gomal for Bhangaranaikanabetta village and suggests a deviation in the demarcation line at the south-east corner of S.No.6 of Virlagondi. As regards the two other villages, the ryots have no takrar for the reservation.

5. The Mugdalbetta Block consists of the lands of 6 villages. Of these the ryots of Kotagudda, Bommanagathihalli, Madlerahally and Mugdalbetta villages have no tarkar for the proposal of the reservation. In the Sylapura village, out of 4 assessed survey numbers two i.e., S.Nos.12 and 13 have been recently given away for cultivation, these will have to be excluded from the block or they will have to be acquired. As regards Devalkere village, it is proposed that

kharab

kharab S.No.35 should be excluded from the reservation as the whole extent of gomal land is taken away. And S.No.28 also has to be excluded as this has been recently given away for cultivation.

4 6. The Rangappanahalli Block consists of ten villages.

1. Thimmanaikanabetta
2. Kondethimmanahalli
3. Rangappanahalli
4. Jangamarahalli
5. Oddagalla
6. Budasanahalli
7. Mummadisagara
8. Gallanakunte
9. Hosahalli
10. Nidagal.

[illegible]

In this Block 40 occupied survey numbers of 10 villages are proposed to be included. The Sub Division Officer proposes to reconstitute this block excluding all the occupied holdings and leaving out certain gomal and waste lands for the use of Hosahalli and Budasanahalli villages.

5 7. The Tippaganahalli Block No.I comprises lands lying in Tippaganahalli village of the Hoskote Hobli. The proposal of the Forest Officer is accepted and no change is proposed.

5A 8. The Tippaganahalli Block No.II comprises lands of (1) Hosahalli (2) Maridasanahalli of the Hoskote Hobli and (3) Obalapura village of the Nidagal Hobli.

(1) In the Hosahalli village, the whole of gomal and 4 kharab survey numbers are proposed to be included in the block. But the Sub Division Officer is of opinion that the remaining kharab extent would be quite insufficient for the village cattle and therefore suggests that kharab S.Nos.18 and 22 should be excluded and S.No.25 which is a small Bilvapatra grove and has a talaparige may also be excluded as it is a place of constant resort by the public.

(2) As regards the village of Maridasanahalli, the villagers are not in favour of reserving the survey numbers proposed by the Forest Department and the Sub Division Officer points out that the objection of the villagers deserves consideration. He recommends that only S.Nos.69(gomal) and 71(kharab) may be formed into a block excluding other kharab survey numbers.

(3) As regards the lands of Obalapura village there is no change proposed.

6 9. The Vadanakal Block comprises the lands of 3 villages viz., (1) Sasalakunte (2) Vadanakal and (3) Doddenahalli.

As regards Doddenahalli lands, no change is proposed but as regards the lands of the other two villages, the Sub Division Officer proposes the exclusion of certain gomal survey numbers from the block, as the remaining

extent

extent of gomal would be quite inadequate for the village cattle.

10. The proposals made by the Sub Division Officer deserve careful consideration at the settlement. The Amildar who has to be present will urge all these matters and the villagers will also have notice. It seems to me that a preliminary notification may well be issued and settlement work taken up.

11. The enclosures of the Sub Division Officer's letter quoted above (205 dated 9-5-1917) are herewith submitted as per pherist.

'Copy'

for Revenue Commissioner.

H.V.

Copy of letter No. 5595 dated the 4/7th December 1915 from the Conservator of Forests to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore Bangalore.

Adverting to para 2 of my letter No. 4741 dated the 19th - ultimo, I have the honour to forward herewith the Reservation Proposals of Thippiganahalli blocks 1 and 11 in the Pavagada Taluk and to request that you will be good enough to send them on to Government, so that they might be notified, along with the 5 proposals already sent, under section 4 of the Forest Regulation.

Conservator of Forests.

Copy of letter No. 4741 dated the 19th November 1915 from the Conservator of Forests in Mysore to the Revenue-Comissioner in Mysore.

Rangappanahalli
Mugadalbetta
Vadanakal
Rangarnaikanabetta.
Pavagada
Do incl

Adverting to the concluding para of the General and Revenue Secretary's letter No. R. 7622/ft 103-13-3 dated the 26th March 1914, I have the honour to forward herewith proposals for the reservation of the marginally noted five blocks in the Pavagada Taluk, Tumkur District and request that you will kindly send them on to Government so that they may be notified under section 4 of the Forest Regulation for being constituted into state forests.

The papers relating to the Thippaganahalli blocks 1 and 11 referred to in the Tumkur Deputy Commissioner's letter No. 1341 dated the 13th June last (copy enclosed) will be forwarded on their return from the District Forest Officer to whom they have been sent for certain defects found in them.

Conservator of Forests.

17

Copy of letter No. 1241 dated 12. 6. 1915 from the District Forest Officer, Tumkur District to the Conservator of Forests in Mysore through the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

With reference to your Communication No. 10599 dated the 9th of April 1914, returning the reservation proposals of Eight blocks in Pavagada Taluk for resubmission duly modified in the light of instructions conveyed in Government order No. R7622/~~F~~ 103-13-2 dated the 26th of March 1914, I have the honour to submit herewith modified proposals for the marginally noted* Six blocks aggregating to an area of 22.10 Square miles.

2. Proposals for the formation of forest blocks were originally taken up as directed by Government in their order No. R2501-12/S~~F~~35-08-4 dated 21st September 1909 and the necessity for reserving the above areas has been dealt with in this office letter No. [illegible] dated the 28th June 1910. These proposals having been drawn up before resurvey operations were concluded in this taluk, the entire proposals had now to be recast adopting resurvey numbers. Of the originally

Flag E. L

P.T.O.

proposed eight blocks, two blocks had to be abandoned as most of the Survey numbers taken up for their formation have since been alienated for cultivation.

3. The system of dry cultivation obtaining is peculiar to this taluk owing to low rate of assessment prevailing, large tracts of dry lands, barring valuable ones, are under a sort of fleeting cultivation. Even a poor man owns large areas of dry land only for purposes of raising one or two dry crops and finally relinquishing them. By the inclusion of such areas as are abandoned in the formation of forest blocks, the area of our blocks will not only enhance, but the forest growth of this dry zone could as well establish and be conserved. In the above circumstances it may be seen that these proposals do not contemplate the reservation of all the available occupied lands but only so much as are in excess of the actual requirements of the villagers. Further it may be gathered from the accompanying statements that sufficient areas have been left out for communal and other purposes.

proposes

4. With regard to Occupied lands abutting on the proposed block, I beg to add that as per para 4 of Government order No. R1294-303-4B-1 dated 15th August 1904, whenever the boundary line runs along cultivated fields, a margin of five Chains is left out while cutting demarcation line, though this is not usual to indicate in the map.

5. Tracing of all blocks together with Connected Statements accompanying the report.

"Copy"

Conservator of Forests.

15 25/- 6 copies & one for min. drafts Draft I Seven drafts 20

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT Reservations of certain blocks in the Pavagada Taluk.

Diary No. of letter replied to 4833

Section Fl.
File No. 103-13
Serial No. 4

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 191

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 16-3-1918

Secr. Sd. S. Ramachandra Rao.

May this be

- *i Printed?*
- *ii treated as a standing order?*
- *iii published in the Gazette English part?*
- *iv translated?*
- *v placed at the disposal of the press?*
- *vi published in the Gazette Kannada part?*

Read.—

paragraph 11 of G.O. No. R. 2501/-Ls. 35-08 dated 22-9-09 calling upon the Conservr of Frts & the Dy. Comr. Tumkur Dt to take action on an extensive scale for the reservation of scattered tracts of land & for planting forest & fuel trees in the Pavagada taluk in order to conserve the ~~to~~ source of water supply of tanks and talapariges

~~Order Thereon: No.~~

2). Correspondence ending

over.

26/2

with letter No. A-6.448-gl. of 8.2.18 from the ~~Commr~~ Rev. Commr forwarding the records relating to the reservation of the ~~following~~ marginally noted blocks and stating that the initial notification under Secn 4 of the Ft Regn may be issued leaving it to the Forest Settlement Officer to deal with the suggestions of the Rev. Sub. Divn Officer regarding the exclusion of certain lands etc.

1. Huppaganahalli blocks I & II
2. Vadanakal
3. Rangappanahalli
4. Mungdalbetta
5. Bangaranaikanabetta
6. pavagada -

Encl

Order - R. 9681-4 D/ 16-3-1918

Of the Eight blocks originally proposed for reservation, the proposal as regards two blocks, viz: Srirangapuran & Hunumanayakanabetta, ~~is~~ are proposed to abandoned as the lands included in them have been given out for cultivation.

2. The

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

22

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

reservation of the remg six blocks referred to above is approved. The initial notification will be published in the Gazette and the records will be sent to the Forest Settlement Officer.

Am
22/2/18
For Rev. Secretary

To.

The Consr of Fts in Mysore
The Rev. Commr in Mysore
The F.S.O. in Mysore. ~~(with copies of Notfns)~~
The Dy. Commr, Tumkur Dt

With Records

mp
15-2-18

88
22/2/18

4

G. R. S. VI.—8-1/7]

DRAFT. VI 23

SUBJECT Forest Reservation VI

Section. F
File No. 103-13
Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to H333

F 581

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
(F)
, the ..... 191 .

Date of issue 16-3-1918

Signed by Ramachandra Rao.

No. 9685

NOTIFICATION.

The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to declare, under the provisions of Section 4 of the Mysore Forest Regulation (XI of 1900), that it is proposed to constitute the area, the boundaries of which are described in the Schedule below, a State Forest, under the provisions of the said Regulation.

2. The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are further pleased, under clause (*c*) of the said section, to appoint Mr. P. Basavaradhya B.A., B.L., Asst. Commr. to be the Forest Settlement Officer, who shall inquire into and determine the existence, nature and extent of any rights claimed by, or alleged to exist in favour of, any person in or over land comprised within such limits, or to any forest produce of such land, and to deal with the same as provided in Chapter II of the said Regulation, and the District Forest Officer of the Tumkur District, for the time being, to attend on behalf of Government to assist the Forest Settlement Officer in the inquiry.

3. The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, are further pleased, under the authority vested in them by Section 15 of the said Regulation,

P.T.O.

to appoint the Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District, for the time being, to be the Officer of the Revenue Department who shall hear appeals from the orders of the Forest Settlement Officer under Sections 10, 11, 12, 13 or 14, of the Regulation.

By Order,

For *Secretary to Government.*

List of enclosures.

To

The Compiler, *Mysore Gazette*, for publication with the request that the usual number of spare copies may be supplied to the ~~Conservator of Forests in Mysore.~~ F.S.O.

Memo No. R 9686 D/ 16.3.18

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Dy. Commr, Tumkur Dt

Copied by……………………………

Examined by……………………………

By Order,

For *Secretary to Government.*

R.D.

22/2/18

SCHEDULE.

District	Taluk, hobli and village	Approximate area	Name of block	Situation and boundaries
				Situation :— Boundaries :

WD 02207—GPB—2,000—22-5-17

Notification. 25

The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to declare under the provisions of Section 4 of the Mysore Forest Regulation (XI of 1900) that it is proposed to constitute the area the boundaries of which are described in the schedule below, State Forest under the provisions of the said Regulation.

Schedule

District	Taluk	Approximate area	Name of Block	Situation and Boundaries
Tumkur	Pavugada	2·74 square miles	Bhangaranaikanabetta block	Situation:- A group of hills Situated on the boundary of Madakasira Taluk (Ananthpur District) 5 miles and 4 furlongs South and southwest of Pavugada town. Boundaries North:- Starting from the tri-junction band of survey nos 2 ~~and 4~~ of Bhangaranaikanbetta 4 and 5 of Veerlagundi, the line runs ~~in the~~ north-east~~ern di-rection~~ along the Southern

borders of Survey nos 2, 1, 10 and 14 of the same village for about a mile : then ~~the line turns abruptly northwest and runs in that direction~~ for about 25 yards along the south-east side of survey no. 14 of Bhangaranaikanabetta ~~up~~ to southwest corner of Survey no. 26 of Chickanaikanahalli village. Then ~~it turns east and passes~~ along the southern limits of Survey no. 26 ~~upp~~ to its southeast corner ~~of the same survey no~~ (for about 1½ furlongs): then ~~it skirts~~ south ~~and runs~~ along the western boundary of Survey nos 20 and 19 for about two furlongs till it meets the south-west corner of the latter Survey no. : then ~~turning~~ east ~~passes~~ along the southern border of Survey no. 19 for about 1½ furlongs ~~up~~ to its southeast corner ~~of the same survey no. of the~~

~~Same village~~ then ~~the line turns up and runs~~ north along the eastern boundary of Survey no. 19 for about a furlong ~~where~~ it ~~turns~~ then north-east ~~and runs in that direction~~ for about three furlongs along the Southern boundary of Survey nos 17 and 16 of Chickanaikanahalli Village. Then it ~~turns~~ east ~~and runs in that direction~~ for about two furlongs along ~~the remaining~~ the said Southern boundary of Survey no. 16, ~~of the same village~~

East:- Then the line runs South and southwest for about 7 furlongs along the western boundary of Survey nos 54, 53, 50, 51 and 52 of Rajavanthi Village. Then it passes in a southwest~~erly direction~~ along the western limits of the Madakasira Taluk for about 9 furlongs till it meets the tri-junction bands of Bhangaranaikanabetta, Veerlagundi of the pavagadu Taluk ~~the~~

and Ramagiri of the Madakasira Taluk.

South:- Thence the line passes through Survey no. 12 of Veerlagundi Village for about one furlong ~~when it meets~~ to the eastern boundary of survey no. 13; then it glides along the eastern and the northern boundaries of Survey no. 13, ~~of the same village~~, ~~then it turns~~ then south ~~and runs~~ along the western boundary of survey nos 13 and 14 of the same village for 1½ furlongs ~~till it meets~~ to the north-~~eastern~~ band of Survey no. 15, ~~of Veerlagundi village;~~ ~~it~~ then ~~turns~~ west ~~and runs in that direction~~ for about 7 furlongs along the northern limits of survey nos 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 25 ~~of the above named village~~. Then ~~it turns up and runs~~ for about 1½ furlongs along the eastern boundary of Survey no. 27 ~~till it meets~~ to the tri-junction bands of Survey nos 27, 23 and 28, Then it ~~turns~~ west ~~and runs in south-westerly direction~~ for about ¼ of a mile along the northern boundary of Survey nos 27 and 26. of the said Veerlagundi Village.

West:- Thence ~~From the above named point~~ the line runs ~~in the~~ north~~ern direction~~ for about 3 furlongs along the eastern side of Survey nos 26, 29 and 42 ~~off~~ to the South~~ern corner~~ east corner of Survey no. 43 of Veerlagundi village: then ~~it turns~~ east ~~and runs in that direction~~ for about 3½ furlongs along the southern limits of Survey nos 43 and 56: then it ~~turns up and runs in that direction~~ for about 1½ furlongs along the eastern boundary of Survey nos 56 and 57 ~~of the same village.~~ then ~~turning~~ west ~~it runs~~ along the northern limits of Survey no. 57 for about 1¼ furlongs ~~till it meets~~ to the south-east corner band of Survey no. 54. Then ~~it turns up and runs in that direction~~ for about ¾ of a furlong ~~till it meets~~ to the Southeast corner of Survey no. 66 ~~of the same village~~, Then ~~it turns~~ east ~~and runs east and~~ & northeast along the Southern boundary of Survey no. 65, ~~and~~ then along its ~~the~~ eastern boundary ~~of the same no.~~ and of S. Nos 59 and 60, ~~and~~ Then along the Southern limits of the village site and Survey no. 7 ~~of [illegible]~~ for about five furlongs; Then ~~it turns~~ south-east ~~and runs~~ along the western boundary of survey no. 9 and Southern boundary of Survey no. 10 of the Same village for about three furlongs, Then ~~it turns up and runs in the~~

[illegible] marked
in pink.

31

northerly direction for about 4½ furlongs along the eastern boundary of Survey nos 10, 9, 8, 7, 2 and 5 of the same village and meets the starting point.

D. J. Govindan

Dt. Forest Officer

Tirunelveli

G. R. S. III—1]   1913-14   (1)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Forest.)

NOTES.

Ft- File No. 106-13.

FILE HEADING.

Reservation of the Lavagada block.

From The Rev [illegible]

No. 7170.   Dated 1-12-13   Received

Diary No. 4480.   Enclos. —   Spare Copies.   Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits proposals for the reservation of the block under sec 4.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

For intermediate correspondence and orders please see Ft (103-13).

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 1232—GPB—20,000—18-11-15

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ft. File No. 104 of 1918.

Serial No.	
2.	To the Commr. for publication in the gazette No R. 9687 dy 16 3 18. notifies the reservation of the Pavagada block under sec 4 of the Ft. Regln. To the D.C. Tumkur No R 9688 dy 16 3 18 forwarded a copy of the above

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-8-13—S.

(1)

DIARY No. 4480

1913-1914
SECTION Forest
C.F. No. 104-13
No. 1

Letter No.7170 dated the 1st December 1913 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore to the Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.

....

I have the honor to forward herewith for the orders of Government papers relating to the proposed reservation of the eight marginally noted blocks in the Pavagada Taluk received with Tumkur Deputy Commissioner's docket No.202/R.F. dated the 19th September 1913.

1. Bangarnaikanbetta Block.
2. Pavagada "
3. Srirangapura "
4. Vadanakal "
5. Thippaganahalli "
6. Thimmanayakanbetta "
7. Nugadalbetta "
8. Rangappanahalli "

2. Government have in para 14 of their order No.R.2501-10/L.R.37-08-4 dated the 21st September 1909 observed that such injury has been done to the water supply of the tanks and talaparigees in the Pavagada Taluk by the neglect to conserve the forest resources of the tract. It is with a view to remedy this defect that the present proposals for extensive reservations have been submitted.

3. The eight blocks put together cover an area of 22414 acres 22 guntas or about 35 square miles; this extent and the 17 square miles of State Forest that now exist make up a total forest area of about 52 square miles and thus form about a tenth of the total area of the Taluk being more than three times what it was in 1909. The present proposals affect 34 villages and involve the curtailment of the gomal rights of almost all the villages. In 15 villages all the available gomal is proposed to be included in the reserve, much to the prejudice of the village cattle. Further if the proposals in question are adopted several assessed occupied lands will be included in the Reserve as enclosures and this will be fruitful source of annoyance to the ryots concerned.

4. The preliminary notification may be issued but the Forest Settlement Officer should be directed to enquire carefully into the rights and privileges of the ryots in respect of cultivation and grazing and fuel and timber supply and make adequate provision therefor at the time of settlement.

" COPY "

2

Letter No.10240 dated 9/24th June 1913 from the Conservator of Forests in Mysore to the Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments, (through the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore).

....

Adverting to Para 14 of Government Order No.R.2561-10/F.L.[illegible] dated the 21st September 1912 impressing on the Department the necessity for extending the formation of State Forests in the Pavagada Taluk, Tumkur District, I have the honor to forward herewith proposal for the reservation of the marginally noted 8 blocks and to request that they may be notified under section 4 of the Forest Regulation for constitution as State Forests.

1. Sangaranayakanabetta Block.
2. Pavagada " .
3. Srirangapura " .
4. Vadanakal " .
5. Tipaganahalli " .
6. Thimmanayakanabetta " .
7. [illegible] " .
8. [illegible] " .

2. The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, concurs in these proposals, but suggests that free grazing be allowed all the year round.

It is true that the provision made for grazing is inadequate in some cases, while in a few none has been made. In the latter case, the District Forest Officer however, says, that none exists in the neighbouring villages for this purpose and states that it is not possible to provide for all by cutting out areas from the proposed blocks without affecting their compactness. The question of providing grazing, fuel and timber for agricultural implements might be left for the determination of the Forest Settlement Officer after due consideration of the local requirements and resources.

3. The blocks now proposed are honeycombed with cultivations. As these, are, however, of a temporary nature it is proposed not to interfere with them; but as they are relinquished, they will be absorbed in the State Forest.

" Copy "

G.O.

Letter No.202/R.C. dated the 18th September 1913 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

……………………

With reference to his docket No.1254 dated the 31st July 1913, forwarding for opinion copy of the Conservator of Forests letter No.10240 dated the 24th June 1913 in regard to the conservation of certain forest blocks in the Pavagada Taluk of this District has the honor to state that the undersigned is in ~~agg~~ agreement with the Conservator in the opinion that the question of providing grazing, fuel, and timber for agricultural implements might be left for the determination of the Forest Settlement Officer after consideration of the local requirements and resources. The enclosures are returned herewith.

" C O P Y "

C.C.
7

8

G. R. S. VI.—s-1/7]

DRAFT. VII. 4

SUBJECT Forest Reservation

Section. H
File No. 101-13
Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 4333

580

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
(H)
, *the* ....*191* .

Date of issue 16/3/18

Ex. *Signed by* Ramachandra Rao

No. 968

NOTIFICATION.

The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to declare, under the provisions of Section 4 of the Mysore Forest Regulation (XI of 1900), that it is proposed to constitute the area, the boundaries of which are described in the Schedule below, a State Forest, under the provisions of the said Regulation.

2. The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are further pleased, under clause (*c*) of the said section, to appoint Mr. B. Basavaradhya B.A., B.L. Asst. Commr. to be the Forest Settlement Officer, who shall inquire into and determine the existence, nature and extent of any rights claimed by, or alleged to exist in favour of, any person in or over land comprised within such limits, or to any forest produce of such land, and to deal with the same as provided in Chapter II of the said Regulation, and the District Forest Officer of the Tumkur District, for the time being, to attend on behalf of Government to assist the Forest Settlement Officer in the inquiry.

3. The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, are further pleased, under the authority vested in them by Section 15 of the said Regulation,

P.T.C.

16/

6

to appoint the Deputy Commissioner of the......Tumkur..............District, for the time being, to be the Officer of the Revenue Department who shall hear appeals from the orders of the Forest Settlement Officer under Sections 10, 11, 12, 13 or 14, of the Regulation.

By Order,

for Secretary to Government.

To

The Compiler, *Mysore Gazette*, for publication with the request that the usual number of spare copies may be supplied to the ~~Conservator of Forests in Mysore.~~ F.S.O.

Memo No.....R. 9688, Dt. 16·3·18.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Dy. Commr Tumkur Dt.

By Order,

for *Secretary to Government.*

R.D.

List of enclosures.

Copied by..

Examined by......................................

SCHEDULE.

District	Taluk, hobli and village	Approximate area	Name of block	Situation and boundaries
				Situation:— Boundaries:

WD 02207—GPB—2,000—22-5-17

6

Notification.

—∘—

The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to declare, under the provisions of Section 4 of the Mysore Forest Regulation XI of 1900, that it is proposed to constitute the area, the boundaries of which are described in the schedule below, a state Forest under the provisions of the said regulation.

Schedule.

—∘—

District	Taluk	Approximate area	Name of block	Situation and boundaries
Tumkur	Pavagada	3·15 square miles	Pavagada	Situation:- The ~~A~~ block lies ~~east~~ to ~~south by~~ Southwest of Pavgada town, about one mile from it. Boundaries:- North:- Starting from the South-west corner of survey no. 57 of the Pavagada ~~town~~ village ~~village~~, the line runs east for about 6 furlongs ~~in the easterly direction~~ along the South side ~~border~~ of survey no. 57 and the western boundary of survey nos 20 and 21: then ~~it turns~~ east ~~and runs~~ for about a chain in easterly direction till it ~~meets~~ to the 2

7 Tumkur pavagada Main road at ~~58th~~ mile ~~and 7th~~ that furlong ~~of the 5th~~ mile ~~Then it turns up and runs in the~~ north-east~~erly direction~~ for about 3 furlongs ~~skirting~~ along the east side of the said Main road ~~along the eastern limit of the road till it meets~~ to the South-western band of Sur. no. 328 ~~where it turns~~ then east ~~and runs~~ for about 2½ furlongs along the Southern boundary of the Same no. Then ~~it turns~~ South-east ~~and runs in an South-easterly and Southerly direction~~ for about 5 furlongs along the Southern boundary of Survey nos 329, ~~and~~ then ~~it turns South and runs~~ along the western boundary of Survey no. 330. Then ~~it turns~~ South-east ~~and runs~~ along the Southern boundary of the Same Survey no. ~~and turns~~ then east ~~and runs~~ for about four furlongs along the Southern boundary of Survey nos 331 and 333. Then ~~it turns~~ South ~~abruptly and runs with a zig-zag~~ for about four furlongs along the western boundary of Survey nos 321 and 320, till it meets the South-western band of Survey no. 320. Then ~~it turns~~ South-east and east ~~and runs in that direction~~ for

about 2 furlongs along the Southern boundary of Survey no. 320 when it turns ~~tilts a little towards west and runs in~~ Then north-east~~erly direction~~ for about 6½ furlongs along the eastern boundary of Survey nos 320, 321 and 334: then ~~it turns~~ west ~~and runs in that direction for about two chains when it turns~~ them ~~abruptly again at right angles and runs in the~~ South-west and north-west~~erly direction~~ for about ~~2½ furlongs~~ along the northern boundary of S. No 334 to ~~till it meets~~ the South-east~~ern~~ band of Survey no. 338: then ~~it turns up and runs~~ for about two furlongs ~~in that direction~~ along the east side of S. No. 338. Then ~~for about 8 chains when it turns at right angles running a distance of 8 chains.~~ along the Southern Side of S. no. 304. Then ~~it turns towards north-east and runs for~~ for about four chains along the eastern boundary of Survey nos 304 and 303, ~~when it skirts~~ then north-east and east ~~and runs in that direction~~ for one mile along the Southern boundary of Survey nos 282,

9

281, 280, 279, 278 and 277 to ~~till it~~ ~~meets~~ the south-east band of the last named survey no. all of Pavugada village.

East:- Then ~~From the last named point~~, the line runs ~~generally~~ south ~~and passes~~ along the western boundary of Kada-malakunte and Bandenahalli villages for about 6½ furlongs ~~up~~ to north-west band of Survey no. 307 of Pavagada: then ~~it runs~~ south west along the western boundary of survey no. 307, northern and western boundaries of survey no. 314 and ~~north and western boundary~~ of ~~survey no.~~ 315, ~~all of Pavagada village~~ & the ~~and~~ northern boundary of Survey no. 15 of Hanamenahalli for about 6¼ furlongs ~~up~~ to north-west corner of the same Survey no. Then ~~the line runs~~ south with four zigzags ~~till it meets~~ to the south-west corner of Survey no. 38 ~~running~~ along the western boundary of survey nos 15 and 14, ~~and~~ the northern boundary of survey nos 9 and 8 and the western boundary of survey nos 8, 31 and 38. ~~of the same village~~.

__South__:— ~~From the above named~~ Thence ~~point~~ the line runs west for about 2¼ furlongs through Survey no. 6 ~~till it reaches~~ to the north-east corner of Survey no. 2.: then ~~the line runs~~ north for about 1¼ furlongs along the eastern boundary of survey no. 3 ~~up~~ to the north-east corner of the latter S.NO: then ~~the line turns at right angles and runs~~ west for about 2 furlongs along the northern boundary of survey nos 3 and 135 ~~up~~ to north-west corner of the last named Survey no. Then ~~it turns~~ south ~~and runs~~ for about 1¾ furlongs along the western limits of survey no. 135 up to north-east corner of Survey no. 134. ~~Again it turns and~~

Then ~~runs~~ west for about ½ a furlong along the northern boundary of Survey no. 134 ~~when it turns at right angles and runs~~ then north for three furlongs ~~in the northern direction~~ along the eastern boundary of Survey no. 132 ~~up~~ to ~~the~~ its north-east corner ~~of the same~~; then ~~the line turns and runs~~ west for about a mile ~~mile in the western direction~~ along the northern boundary of Survey nos 132, 129, 117, 116 and 115 of Hanamenahalli Village crossing the said main road at the 58th mile ~~and~~ 3rd furlong. Then ~~turning~~ South and west ~~it and passes~~ for about 4½ furlongs along the western boundary of Survey No 115 and the northern boundaries of nos 113, 112 and 107 ~~up~~ to the northwest corner of the last named survey no. ~~of the same village~~.

West:- ~~From the last named point~~ Thence the line runs north-east and north along the eastern sides of survey nos 27, 26, and 56 of the Pavagada village for about seven furlongs ~~until it meets~~ to the starting point.

D. Damodar
Dt. Forest Officer.
Tumkur.